



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

*clt*  
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/031,918      | 09/24/2002  | Joseph P. Noel       | SALK2370-2          | 1639             |

30542 7590 05/31/2006

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
P.O. BOX 80278  
SAN DIEGO, CA 92138-0278

|          |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

NASHED, NASHAAT T

|          |              |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1656

DATE MAILED: 05/31/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|                              |                                              |                                    |  |
|------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Office Action Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/031,918         | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>NOEL ET AL. |  |
|                              | <b>Examiner</b><br>Nashaat T. Nashed, Ph. D. | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1656            |  |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 April 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**.                      2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 17, 23, 26 and 40-56 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 17, 23, 26, and 40-56 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All    b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |                                                                                                                        |                                                                                         |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                                            | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                                |

Art Unit: 1656

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on April 14, 2006 has been entered.

The amendment to the claims has been entered as requested in the communication filed April 14, 2006. Accordingly, claims 17, 23, and 26 have been amended, claims 18-22, 24, 25, and 27-39 have been canceled, and new claims 40-56 have added.

Claims 17, 23, 26, and 40-56 are under consideration.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 17, 23, 26, and 40-56 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The phrase "chalcone synthase having SEQ ID NO: 1" expands the scope of the claims to include all possible protein crystals comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 (claims 17, 23, 26, and 40-56) are directed to all possible crystals of protein comprising SEQ ID NO: 1. The specification, however, only provides a single representative species of these crystals without any ligands

The court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit has held that a "written description of an invention involving a chemical genus, like a description of a chemical species, 'requires a precise definition, such as by structure, formula [or] name chemical name,' of the claimed subject matter sufficient to distinguish it from other materials." *UC California v. Eli Lilly* (43 USPQ2d 1398). For claims drawn to genus, MPEP section 2163 states the written description requirement for a claimed genus may be satisfied through sufficient description of a representative number of species by actual reduction to practice, reduction to drawings, or by disclosure of relevant, identifying characteristics, i.e., structure or physical and/or chemical properties, by functional characteristics coupled with known or disclosed correlation between function and structure, or by a combination of such identifying characteristics, sufficient to show the applicant was in

Art Unit: 1656

possession of the claimed genus. Also, MPEP section 2163 states that a representative number of species mean that the species, which are adequately described, are representative of the entire genus. Thus, when there is substantial variation within the genus, one must describe a sufficient variety of species to reflect the variation within the genus. The instant application partially describes the crystallization of a wild type mutants having C164 substituted with serine. In general, for a species of crystal to be adequately and structurally described, the following must be adequately described: (i) the exact chemical composition of the crystal, i.e., the structure feature of all molecules in the crystal including the amino acid sequence of any protein or nucleic acid, (ii) the space group of the crystal; (iii) the unit cell dimension of the crystal; and (iv) a reproducible method to obtain the crystal. Thus, the specification fails to describe additional representative species of these crystals by any identifying structural characteristics or properties, or even a reproducible method to obtain the crystal other than the crystal comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1, the space group and unit cell dimension claim 1, for which no predictability of structure is apparent. Given this lack of additional representative species and teaching as encompassed by the claims, Applicants have failed to sufficiently describe the claimed invention, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms that a skilled artisan would recognize Applicants were in possession of the claimed invention.

Claims 17, 23, 26, and 40-56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. The claims are broader than the enablement provided by the disclosure with regard to all-possible crystals comprising any protein comprising of the amino acid the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1 and method of their crystallization. Clearly, the specification even fails to enable the crystallization of the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1. Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation is required are summarized *In re Wands* [858 F.2d 731, 8 USPQ 2nd 1400 (Fed. Cir. 1988)]. The Wands factors are: (a) the quantity of experimentation necessary, (b) the amount of direction or guidance presented, (c) the presence or absence of working example, (d) the nature of the invention, (e) the state of the prior art, (f) the relative skill of those in the art, (g) the predictability or unpredictability of the art, and (h) the breadth of the claim.

The nature and breadth of the claimed invention encompasses any possible crystals comprising of a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1. The specification provides guidance and examples in the form of an assay to obtain the protein of SEQ ID NO: 1, but it fails to provide adequate enablement for any crystal. The crystallization condition at page 175, second paragraph, fails to identify the exact conditions, which the crystal can be grown. The specification states:

Art Unit: 1656

Crystallization. CHS crystals (wild-type and C<sub>164</sub>-S mutant) were grown by vapor diffusion at 4 degree C in 2  $\mu$ l drops containing a 1:1 mixture of 25 mg/ml protein and crystallization buffer (2.2-2.4 M ammonium sulfate and 0.1 M PIPES, pH 6.5) in the presence or absence of 5 mM DTT. Prior to freezing at 105 degree K, crystals were stabilized in 40% (v/v) PEG400, 0.1 M PVES (PH 6.5), and 0.050-0.075 M ammonium sulfate. This cryoprotectant was used for heavy atom soaks. Likewise, all substrate and product analog complexes were obtained by soaking crystals in cryoprotectant containing 10-20 mM of the compound. (Underlining is added for emphasis).

Neither the composition of the protein solution nor the final composition, in which the crystal is grown, is taught in the specification. None of the buffer composition used in the purification and processing the protein is stated in the specification. No dialysis step was included to indicate that the protein was just in water. See the first paragraph at page 175. Applicants should note that the phrase "aqueous solution" does not mean that the protein is in unbuffered water solution free of any salts. What the phrase mean is that the solution comprise some amount of water, and it could contain a variety of components such as organic and inorganic salts, organic solvent, DTT, and buffers. While molecular biological techniques and genetic manipulation to make any protein, a general crystallization methods for proteins, and synthetic method to make any compound that binds to the protein are known in the prior art and the skill of the artisan are well developed, knowledge regarding crystallization of a particular protein and its complexes is lacking. It is well established in the art that obtaining a protein and its complexes in a crystal form is highly unpredictable without any clear expectation of success, and any change in a given crystallization condition including any minor alteration such as ionic strength or pH could alter the crystal form and its diffraction characteristics or even lack of crystal formation. It is now evident that protein crystallization is the major hurdle in protein structure determination. For this reason, protein crystallization has become a research subject in and of itself, and is not simply an extension of structure biologist or crystallographer's laboratory. There are many references that describe the difficulties associated with protein crystals. See for example, Gilliland *et al.*, (*Curr. Opin in Struct. Biol.* 1996, 6, 595-603) in particular page 600, left column second paragraph; Ke *et al.* (*Methods*, 2004, 34, 408-414); and Wiencek, J. M. (*Ann. Rev. Biomed. Eng.* 1999, 1, 505-534). Thus, the skilled artisan would be expected to screen large number of crystallization conditions, which may include screening variety of conditions in space, a micro gravity environment. A protein which may crystallize under specific crystallization condition, it mutants may or may not crystallize under the same condition. In many cases, a protein that can't be crystallized, one of its specific mutants might be crystallized. Even if a crystal is obtained, it may or may not be suitable for structure determination by X-ray crystallography. Thus, searching for a crystallization conditions for a protein and its complexes that is suitable for X-ray crystallography is well outside the realm of routine experimentation and

Art Unit: 1656

predictability in the art of success in is extremely low. The amount of experimentation to identify a crystal for a protein comprising the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO: 1, or mutant thereof to obtain a crystal suitable structure determination X-ray crystallography is enormous. Since routine experimentation in the art does not include screening large number of crystallization conditions or mutants of protein consisting of and/or comprising SEQ ID NO: 1 which can be crystallized where the expectation of obtaining the desired crystal is unpredictable, the Examiner finds that one skilled in the art would require additional guidance, such as information regarding the exact amino acid sequences of the exact amino acid sequence, the chemical structure of a ligand which binds to SEQ ID NO: 1, and form the binary complex to be crystallized, and identify a crystallization conditions that produce a crystal suitable for structure determination by X-ray crystallography. Without such guidance, the experimentation left to those skilled in the art is undue.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nashaat T. Nashed, Ph. D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0934. The examiner can normally be reached on MTWTF.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen M. Kerr can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Nashaat T. Nashed, Ph. D.  
Primary Examiner  
Art Unit 1656